File 265 of 1921
SEN F 9

G.R.S IX—5]

Previous references
Mscg 265-21-25-26 (192 Mysore) File No. 265 31

Serial Nos. ~~27 28~~ 29.
29

Later references.
Mscg 265-21-33-51

194-30-1-6

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1

Part B for August 1924

SUBJECT

Submits copy of Smaller Causes Sub-Judge's order sanctioning the appointment of a Joint Receiver for the better management of the Sri Subrahmanya Swamy Temple affairs.

~~OR 1795 dated~~ ~~To the Mysore Comm'r~~
7 8 1924

Directs to watch the further development and to take necessary steps in the matter of the Govt of Mysore being represented on the committee of management

filed

Muz 1] 1921. 250

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai

NOTES

File No. 265 / 1921

FILE HEADING

Scheme suit for the better management of Sri Subrahmanya Swami temple at Subrahmanya.

From

The Muz. Comr

C. No 452 Dated 26. 8. 24. Received

Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No
250	1	—	29

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for the information of Govt with ref. to this office letter no. 1795 dt 7.6.24 a copy of the issues framed by the Sub Judge of S. canara in O.S. no. 74 of 23 on the file of his Court.

(K)

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The questions on the subject are submitted. ~~No Objn.~~

May be filed after perusal.

AL
30-8-1924

30/8/24

1 9/9

2nd Member

5-9-24

NOTES--First Sheet

WD 0560—GPB—500—9 5 22

11785 7

No. C 452 of 23-24
Endorse.

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 25th August 1924.
26.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT. 28 AUG 1924 M. Z.

Dno 250
Muz 265-21-29.

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

REVENUE & RENT SECTION 27. AUG. 1924

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1795/M.F.265-21-28 dated 7th June 1924, regarding the suit for the better management of the temple of Sri Subrahmanyaswami in the Uppinangadi Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith for the information of Government a copy of the issues framed by the Subordinate Judge of South Canara in O.S.No.74 of 1923 on the file of his court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

KSR.

Muzrai Commissioner.

8

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE OF SOUTH KANARA.

Thursday the 13th day of March 1924.

O.S.No.74 of 1923.

Between - Sreenivasa Bhatt and 3 others : Plaintiffs and -----

Anantharamappaya and 7 others defts.

This suit coming for settlement of issues this day in the Presence of Messrs. U.C.Krishna Bhatt. K.L. Narayana Rao and K.R. Achar, Vakils for plffs and of Messrs. Gangolli Krishna Rao, --- Pangal Subba Rao and M.A. Shastri Vakil for 1st deft. of Mr.K.S. Rao Vakil for deft. 3 and 4, of Mr. M.S.Shastri Vakils for defendants 6 and 8 and of Messers. M.A. Shastri & F.D'Souza for 7th Deft. the other deft being exparte.

It is ordered that the following issues be tried.

(1) Whether the plffs. do not possess such an interest in the plaint institution as is contemplated by Section 92 of the Criminal Procedure Code and whether the sanction relied on by the plaintiffs is not valid.

(2) What are the moveables belonging to the plaint temple and what is their correct value.

(3) Whether the 1st deft.is guilty of the various charges levelled -- against him in theplaint and whether the 1st defendant is liable to be removed from his Moktessarship.

(4) Whether the appointment of new trustees is necessary in the interes of the plaint temple.

(5) Whether the vaide-geni in the respect of the plaint B. Schedule -- properties is only benami for thelst defts. brother.

(6) Whether the plaint temple is entitled to recover possession of -- the B. Schedule properties free from the objections on the part of the defendants No.5 to 8.

(7) Whether the suit for recovery of possession of plaint B. Schedule properties is barred by limitation.

(8) Whether defts. No.5 to 8 and their ancestors have effected any and what improvements on the B. Schedule properties and whether they are entitled to any and what compensations for the same.

(9) Whether the claim for possession of B. Schedule properties against the defts. No.5 to 8 is sustainable in this suit.

(10) Is the suit bad for mis-joindars of causes of action and parties.

(11) Whether the plff's claim for the settlement of a scheme for the -- management of the temple is sustainable in law.

(12) Whether plffs are entitled to ask for the accounts of the manage-ment of the plaint temple by thelst deft. and if so what amount if any is due from the 1st deft.

(13) Whether suit is bad for non-joindar of Krishna Bhatt one of the -- members of the temple committee.

(14) What reliefs if any are the plaintiffs entitled to and the 9th day of July 1924 is appointed for trial of the suit.

P.T.O.,

Given under my hand and seal of this Court this 31st March 1924.

(Sd.) A.P.P.Saldana,
Subordinate Judge.

"C o p y"

(Sd.) A.V. Ramanatha
Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

"C o p y"

For Muzrai Commissioner. 25/8/24